



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/620,808	07/17/2003	Kunihiko Miyazaki	62758-043	8995
<div>7590 09/24/2007 MCDERMOTT, WILL & EMERY 600 13th Street, N.W. Washington, DC 20005-3096</div>			<div>EXAMINER NGUYEN, MINH DIEU T</div>	
			<div>ART UNIT 2137</div>	<div>PAPER NUMBER</div>
			<div>MAIL DATE 09/24/2007</div>	<div>DELIVERY MODE PAPER</div>

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/620,808

Applicant(s)

MIYAZAKI ET AL.

Examiner

Minh Dieu Nguyen

Art Unit

2137

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 06 July 2007.
2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-23 is/are pending in the application.
4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-4 and 10-23 is/are withdrawn from consideration.
5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
6) ☒ Claim(s) 5-9 is/are rejected.
7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1. This office action is in response to the communication dated 7/6/2007 with the amendments to claims 5-9 and the addition of claims 20-23.
2. Claims 5-9 are pending.

Drawings

3. The objection of the drawings has been withdrawn based on the filed amendment.

Specification

4. The objection of the abstract of the disclosure has been withdrawn based on the filed amendment.

Claim Objections

5. The objections of claims 5-9 have been withdrawn based on the filed amendment.

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments filed 7/6/07 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The Applicant argues that Miyazaki does not disclose a digital signature issued on a message by a digital signature issue side apparatus, in a signature history

storage service apparatus. The Examiner respectfully disagrees, Miyazaki teaches the external storage device (i.e. signature history storage service apparatus) stores a signature-attached message creating program for causing the IC card to generate a digital signature for a message, Miyazaki: 0028) and registering a user of the signature history storage service apparatus who is a digital signer of the digital signature issue side apparatus (see addressed below). The Applicant argues that Bisbee does not teach transmitting the issued deposition request document electronic data, a public key certificate, and log list data, **to the signature history storage service apparatus and the signature history storage service apparatus** verifies the validity of the received public log certificate and checks whether the deposition request document is verified correctly. The Examiner respectfully disagrees, Bisbee discloses the information is transferred to a Trusted Custodial Utility (TCU) that is a trusted third-party repository of information objects and by contract to store reliably any such object for its full effective life. TCU securely stores and retrieves digitally signed, authenticated, and encrypted electronic documents or information objects. TCU supports server for proving document authenticity, for verifying the identities of signing parties, and for authenticating document submissions (Bisbee: col. 3, line 44 to col. 4, line 6).

Election/Restrictions

7. Newly submitted claims 20-23 are directed to an invention that is independent or distinct from the invention originally claimed for the following reasons: claims 20-23 is separately usable, claim 20 has separate utility such as sending an additional

registration notice to the digital signature issue side apparatus after registering the accepted log list to the registered log list and deleting in the digital signature issue side apparatus log data other than the log data thereafter necessary for verification of validity of the digital signature of the digital signer included in the accepted log list when the digital signature issue side apparatus receives the additional registration notice sent from the signature history storage service apparatus. Claim 5 has separate utility such as registering a user of the signature history storage service apparatus who is a digital signer of the digital signature issue side apparatus.

Since applicant has received an action on the merits for the originally presented invention, this invention has been constructively elected by original presentation for prosecution on the merits. Accordingly, claims 20-23 are withdrawn from consideration as being directed to a non-elected invention. See 37 CFR 1.142(b) and MPEP § 821.03.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

8. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

9. Claims 5-7 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Miyazaki et al. (EP 1094424).

a) As to claim 5, Miyazaki discloses a method for managing a log list, which is an issuing history of a digital signature issued on a message by a digital signature issue side apparatus, in a signature history storage service apparatus (i.e. a signature log management apparatus manages the signature log table for each digital signer side apparatus, see Miyazaki: 0067) comprising: accepting the log list from the digital signature issue side apparatus (i.e. digital signer side apparatus transmits all signature logs (called a signature log list) recorded in the signature log table, see Miyazaki: 0051), verifying validity of the digital signature of a digital signer signed on the log list or log list registered request data (i.e. checking the validity of the signature of a digital signer, see Miyazaki: 0042, 0046), verifying consistency between the accepted log list and a registered log list of a registered digital signer (i.e. checking the matching of the signature log, expressed as Nth signature log, with the immediately previous N-1th signature log (whether mutual consistency is maintained), see Miyazaki: 0101), adding and registering the accepted log list with the confirmed consistency to the registered log list of the digital signer (i.e. if it is confirmed that a reliable signature log and the signature log registered immediately before the reliable signature log match, that is, they are consistent with each other, the immediately previous signature log also can be regarded as a reliable signature log. By repeating this procedure, the range of reliable signature logs included in a submitted log list can be determined. It is inherently understood that when this procedure is repeated, then the accepted log list with the confirmed consistency is added and registered to the registered log list of the digital signer, see Miyazaki: 0114); and registering a user of the signature history storage

service apparatus who is a digital signer of the digital signature issue side apparatus (i.e. each digital signer side apparatus may register a signature log with the signature log table each time a digital signature is newly generated, see Miyazaki: 0067).

b) As to claim 6, Miyazaki discloses the method for managing a log list according to claim 5, further comprising: confirming the consistency is confirmed (i.e. confirming that each signature log is consistent with the immediately previous one, see Miyazaki: 0114), and transmitting a fact that the accepted log list is added and registered to the registered log list of the digital signer, to a digital signer side apparatus (i.e. a message indicating registration with the signature log list, see Miyazaki: 0121).

c) As to claim 7, Miyazaki discloses the method for managing a log list according to claim 5, comprising: a step in which the digital signature issue side apparatus requests registration of the accepted log list to the signature history storage service apparatus (i.e. each digital signer side apparatus may register a signature log with the signature log table managed by a signature log management apparatus, see Miyazaki: 0067), and a step in which log data other than the newest log data included in the accepted log list is deleted if an additional registration notice is received (see Miyazaki: 0064).

d) As to claim 9, Miyazaki discloses the method for managing a log list according to claim 7, wherein the digital signature issue side apparatus requests registration of the log list every time when a digital signature is issued (see Miyazaki: 0067).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

8. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

9. Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Miyazaki et al. (EP 1094424) in view of Bisbee et al. (7,162,635).

Miyazaki discloses the method for managing a log list according to claim 7, with the digital signature issue side apparatus (see Miyazaki: Fig. 1, element 1) transmits public key certificate, log list data to the purchaser side apparatus (see Miyazaki: 0080) and the signature log management apparatus (see Miyazaki: 0067),

Miyazaki is silent on the capability of the digital signature issue side apparatus performs a step comprising issuing electronic data of a deposition request document for indicating intention of a registration request, and a step comprising transmitting the issued deposition request document electronic data, a public key certificate, and log list data, to the signature history storage service apparatus, and as the step for verifying the validity of the digital signature, the signature history storage service apparatus performs: a step comprising verifying the validity of the received public key certificate and a step comprising checking whether or not the deposition request document is verified correctly by use of a public key of a user included in the public key certificate.

Bisbee is relied on for the teaching of the digital signature issue side apparatus performs a step comprising issuing electronic data of a deposition request document for

indicating intention of a registration request (i.e. trusted custodial utility (TCU) is a trusted third-party repository of information object. The TCU governs transaction requests (by party submitting a digitally signed object to be bound by their digital signatures) and access to the repository over the respective life cycles of all documents and objects, see Bisbee: col. 3, line 49 to col. 4, line 6) and a step comprising transmitting the issued deposition request document electronic data, a public key certificate, and log list data, to the log list storage side apparatus (see Bisbee: col. 3, line 44 to col. 4, line 6; col. 11, lines 39-45), and as the step for verifying the validity of the digital signature, the log list storage side apparatus performs: a step comprising verifying the validity of the received public key certificate (i.e. TCU validates the transfer agent's identity and rights and verifies the integrity of submitted information objects, see Bisbee: col. 12, lines 32-57) and a step comprising checking whether or not the deposition request document is verified correctly by use of the public key of the user included in the public key certificate (see Bisbee: col. 2, lines 45-52). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to employ the use of the digital signature issue side apparatus performs a step comprising issuing electronic data of a deposition request document for indicating intention of a registration request, and a step comprising transmitting the issued deposition request document electronic data, a public key certificate, and log list data, to the log list storage side apparatus, and as the step for verifying the validity of the digital signature, the log list storage side apparatus performs: a step comprising verifying the validity of the received public key certificate and a step comprising checking whether or not the deposition request

document is verified correctly by use of the public key of the user included in the public key certificate in the system of Miyazaki, as Bisbee teaches so as to provide security for the transfer and retrieval of documents and other information objects in digital formats (see Bisbee: col. 1, lines 22-24).

Conclusion

10. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).


A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire **THREE MONTHS** from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within **TWO MONTHS** of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the **THREE-MONTH** shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than **SIX MONTHS** from the mailing date of this final action.

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Minh Dieu Nguyen whose telephone number is 571-272-3873.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Emmanuel Moise can be reached on 571-272-3865. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

12. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).


mdh
9/8/07


MATTHEW SMITHERS
PRIMARY EXAMINER
Art Unit 2137